UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/699,577	10/31/2003	Robert Hale Grant	112-0348US/233-605-USP	1563
	7590 03/09/201 Cabello Lutsch Ruthe	EXAMINER		
& Brucculeri LLP			SURVILLO, OLEG	
Houston, TX 77	Parkway, 6th Floor 7070		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER	
			2442	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/09/2012	ELECTRONIC

## Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

WCPatent@counselip.com klutsch@counselip.com sconroy@counselip.com

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	10/699,577	GRANT ET AL.			
Examiner-mittated interview Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	OLEG SURVILLO	2442			
All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO	personnel):				
(1) Oleg Survillo, Examiner AU 2442.	(3)				
(2) <u>Keith Lutsch, Reg. No.: 31,851</u> .	(4)				
Date of Interview: 05 March 2012.					
Type:	applicant's representative]				
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: Yes If Yes, brief description:	⊠ No.				
Issues Discussed 101 112 102 103 Others (For each of the checked box(es) above, please describe below the issue and detailed description of the discussion)					
Claim(s) discussed: 2.					
Identification of prior art discussed: <u>Czeiger</u> .					
Substance of Interview (For each issue discussed, provide a detailed description and indicate if agreement was reached. Some topics may include: identification or clarification of a reference or a portion thereof, claim interpretation, proposed amendments, arguments of any applied references etc)					
discussed with Applicant's Attorney technical distinctions be out that Czeiger is interpreted broadly to teach all the limita "login procedure" in Czeiger. Possible ways to amend arous features from Figure 4 that depicts specific services implemed disclosed by Czeiger. It was agreed that non-final Office accelaim 1 as anticipated by Czeiger giving applicants more time.	tions of claim 1 where claimed and Czeiger were discussed sub pented in the gateway that are tion will be mailed specifically	"service" is inter ch as incorporation not the same "se stating reasons i	rpreted as ing certain ervice"		
Applicant recordation instructions: It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of interview.  Examiner recordation instructions: Examiners must summarize the substance of any interview of record. A complete and proper recordation of the substance of an interview should include the items listed in MPEP 713.04 for complete and proper recordation including the identification of the general thrust of each argument or issue discussed, a general indication of any other pertinent matters discussed regarding patentability and the general results or outcome of the interview, to include an indication as to whether or not agreement was reached on the issues raised.  Attachment					
/Douglas B Blair/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2442					

Application No.

Applicant(s)

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-413B (Rev. 8/11/2010)